

GHSC-PSM-TO3-2018-IMP-IDIQ: Response to Questions

Question 1: General: Beyond the “power of attorney” required for Supplement 4 (Part 9.2), what other representations are required if an Offeror is not the Manufacturer of the Goods? In other words, what representations, if any, need to be made by the Offeror on behalf of the Manufacturer to satisfactorily demonstrate the relationship between the two parties and the capacity to deliver on the requirements of this RFQ?

- The only requirement is an official statement explaining the relationship between the manufacturer and Offeror.

Question 2: Furthermore, if the Offeror is not the Manufacturer of the Goods, what financials need to be disclosed? Does the submission need to include the Manufacturer’s audited financial reports? If so, for which years?

- The only financials required to be disclosed are the financials of the Offeror.

Question 3: General: Should an offeror be selected under this RFQ, can invoicing to Chemonics be done by a wholly-owned Subsidiary of the Offeror?

- No, invoicing must be done by the Offeror, not the wholly-owned Subsidiary.

Question 4: Annex 2-6, part 3 (Adequate Financial Resources) question (c) demands whether the public has access to executive compensation through specific disclosures. Should an offeror check “Yes” if the public has access to executive compensation via other disclosures (e.g. IRS Form 990)?

- Yes, offerors filing Form 990 or other information open to public inspection per IRS disclosure requirements should mark “yes”.

Question 5A: Annex 2-6, part 3 (Adequate Financial Resources) question (d) demands whether the Offeror maintains an active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM). Does an Offeror need to be registered for a specific purpose in SAM?

- Registration in the SAM is a requirement for doing business with the U.S. Government. Additional details on purpose is not required.

Questions 5B: For example, if an Offeror’s purpose of registration is only for Federal Assistance Awards, how may that impact their eligibility for this RFQ and do they need to make additional representations and warranties?

- This should not negatively impact the offeror’s eligibility to respond to this RFQ. An Offeror’s response to this question is either a “yes” or “no”. Checking “no” flags that the Offeror needs to contact their local Dun & Bradstreet office to acquire a DUNS # which is required as evidence of responsibility (Annex 2-6: Evidence of Responsibility Form). Only certifications, warranties and representations requested in Annex 2 and the other RFQ annexes as applicable, are needed.

Question 6: Annex 4: Does anything from Annex 4 need to be submitted or is this merely the product specifications and technical requirements?

- Nothing is required to be submitted from Annex 4.

Question 7: Annex 4, section 2 (General Product Requirements): This section states a requirement for the physician leaflet. How should this be supplied? One leaflet per secondary packaging?

- Offerors are asked to propose the number of physician leaflets per secondary packaging for their product in their submission.

Question 8: Annex 4, section 13 (Packaging and Packing): Can you confirm the preference is for 50/100 sub-dermal implants per shipping carton?

- The preference is for 100 sub-dermal implants per shipping carton, but any number of units between 50 and 100 is acceptable.

Question 9: Annex 6: How should Offerors include registrations that are in progress and for which we expect approval soon?

- Please include the submission date in Column D of Annex 6 and include the status of “Submitted” in the Remarks column (Column H).